

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/622,625	KOHLGRUBER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David L. Sorkin	1723

All Participants:

(1) David L. Sorkin.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) William C. Gerstenzang.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

section 112, first paragraph rejection

Claims discussed:

claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Steiff et al. (US Re. 36,969)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Gerstenzang authorized the enclosed examiner's amendment, which removes recitation of the tubes being closed to the product space and instead recites that the tubes are open at both ends to the exterior of the housing.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)